

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/668,092	SHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas H. Parsons	1745	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas H. Parsons.

(2) Seyfarth Shaw LLP.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Contacted the Law office of Seyfarth Shaw LLP to request a status of the instant application as no response the the office action had been filed, and the period for repounding has expired. The case has gone abandoned

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Thomas Parsons

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)